Structure of Guanidinium Bicarbonate: A Model for the Bicarbonate Anion Binding Site of the Transferrins

BY DAVID A. BALDWIN,* LOUIS DENNER, TIMOTHY J. EGAN AND ANTHONY J. MARKWELL

Department of Chemistry, University of the Witwatersrand, 1 Jan Smuts Avenue, Johannesburg 2001, Republic of South Africa

(Received 2 December 1985; accepted 1 April 1986)

Abstract. Guanidinium bicarbonate (aminomethanamidinium hydrogencarbonate), $C_2H_7N_3O_3$, M_r = 121.10, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 3.7608 (8), b =7.6783 (8), c = 18.056 (2) Å, $\beta = 99.59$ (1)°, V =514.10 Å³, Z = 4, $D_m = 1.54$, $D_x = 1.56$ g cm⁻³, Mo K α , $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, $\mu = 0.98$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 256, T = 293 K, final R = 0.0452 for 1051 unique reflections. The structure is proposed as a simple model for the binding of the synergistic anion, HCO_{3} , to an arginine in apotransferrins. Two of the oxygens of the planar bicarbonate form hydrogen bonds (2.820 and 2.912 Å) with different nitrogens on a guanidinium cation with a dihedral angle of 15.8°.

Introduction. The requirement of a synergistic anion, which is physiologically bicarbonate or possibly carbonate, for strong binding of Fe³⁺ or other metal ions to the transferrins is apparently unique in metalloprotein chemistry (Chasteen, 1977; Aisen, 1980). The anion which is bound directly to the metal ion (Chasteen, 1977; Aisen, 1980; Zweier, Peisach & Mims, 1982; Harris, Gray & Aisen, 1974) is almost certainly hydrogen-bonded to an essential arginine residue (Schlabach & Bates, 1975; Feeney, Osuga, Meares, Babin & Penner, 1983) although NMR studies on ovotransferrin have suggested that a histidine may be involved (Alsaadi, Williams & Woodworth, 1981). A comparison of the amino acid sequences of human serum transferrin (Yang et al., 1984), lactoferrin (Metz-Boutique et al., 1984) and ovotransferrin (Jeltsh & Champon, 1982) shows that there are three fully conserved arginines and two fully conserved histidines in each of the two metal binding domains. The two histidine residues are directly bound to the metal ion (Feenev et al., 1983; Alsaadi et al., 1981; Pecoraro, Harris, Carrano & Raymond, 1981; Gaber, Miskowski & Spiro, 1974) and are thus not available for anion binding. The guanidinium group of arginine has on the other hand been shown to act as an anion recognition site in many metalloenzymes (Riordan, 1979).

As part of a programme to study the anion binding site of the transferrins we have determined the structure of guanidinium bicarbonate as a simple model system. The structure of bis(guanidinium) carbonate has been reported previously by Adams & Small (1974).

Experimental. Guanidinium bicarbonate, $C(NH_2)_3$ - HCO_3 , was prepared by bubbling CO_2 gas through a 1.0 M solution of guanidinium carbonate (BDH, Analar), until the pH stabilized at 7.10. Crystals were grown from an aqueous solution under a CO₂ atmosphere. The title compound crystallizes as colourless, needle-shaped crystals. A crystal of dimensions $0.44 \times 0.39 \times 0.28$ mm was chosen and used for preliminary X-ray investigations using the Weissenberg technique, and for data collection; D_m by flotation in CCl₄/CHCl₃; Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, graphite-monochromated Mo $K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda =$ 0.71073 Å) at room temperature, ω -2 θ scan. Lattice parameters from least-squares refinement of 23 reflections, $16 \le \theta \le 18^{\circ}$. Three standard reflections. (229, $3\overline{1}1, \overline{2}5\overline{1}$) monitored after every 104 reflections, showed no more than 0.6% intensity variation throughout the data collection. All (1232) reflections (h: -4 to 4, k: 0to 9, *l*: 0 to 9) in the range $3 < \theta < 27^{\circ}$ were measured. Max. $\sin\theta/\lambda = 0.64 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. 1051 unique reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ used in the analysis; $R_{int} = 0.0246$; empirical absorption correction factors (max. and min. values 1.000, 0.9929) and LPF corrections. Structure solved by direct methods using SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Least-squares refinement of positional and thermal parameters and scale factor {all H atoms were located from a difference Fourier map and were allowed to refine freely except H(2) and H(7) which were fixed, d[N(1)-H(2)] = 1.00 Å and d[O(3)-H(7)] = 1.00 Ågave R = 0.0452, wR = 0.0396; $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$. 100 parameters refined including anisotropic thermal parameters for non-H atoms and isotropic thermal parameters for H atoms. $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max}$ for non-H atoms 0.009, for H 0.024. Max. and min. residual electron density on final difference Fourier synthesis 0.244, -0.285 e Å-3. Atomic scattering factors for non-H atoms from Cromer & Mann (1968); anomalousdispersion correction factors from Cromer & Liberman (1970); H-atom scattering factors from Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965).

© 1986 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Discussion. Final atomic positional parameters and bond distances and angles are presented in Tables 1 and 2.* The structure of guanidinium bicarbonate (Fig. 1) shows that as anticipated the guanidinium cation can form two hydrogen bonds [average N-H...O distance 2.87 (5) Å] with the same bicarbonate anion, and is thus well suited for an anion binding role. The guanidinium cation is essentially planar with an average C-N bond length of 1.324 (3) Å, compared with 1.342 Å in bis(guanidinium) carbonate (Adams & Small, 1974), and forms a dihedral angle of 15 (2)° with the planar bicarbonate anion. The substitution of a hydrogen on one of the nitrogens by a $-CH_2$ as in arginine is expected to have no significant effect on the C-N bond length (Cotton, Hazen, Day, Larsen, Norman, Wong & Johnson, 1973; Curtis & Pasternak, 1955).

Alternating guanidinium cations and bicarbonate anions form infinite hydrogen-bonded chains in the crystal (Fig. 2). The structure is, however, much simpler than that of bis(guanidinium) carbonate (Adams & Small, 1974), where each carbonate is hydrogen-bonded to six guanidinium cations.

* Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and deviations of atoms from mean planes have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 42965 (8 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table 1. Fractional coordinates (C, N, $O \times 10^4$, $H \times 10^3$) and equivalent isotropic temperature factors (Å² × 10³)

$$U_{eq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	Z	U_{eq}
O(1)	650 (4)	1966 (2)	5427 (1)	37 (1)
O(2)	3753 (4)	1551 (2)	6577 (1)	41 (1)
O(3)	3094 (4)	-617 (2)	5773 (1)	39 (1)
N(1) ·	7893 (5)	-2731 (2)	6820(1)	39 (1)
N(2)	8355 (5)	-4586 (3)	5835(1)	42 (1)
N(3)	10560 (5)	-5399 (2)	7046 (1)	36 (1)
C(1)	8932 (5)	-4236 (3)	6565 (1)	31 (1)
C(2)	2485 (5)	1033 (3)	5937 (1)	33 (1)
H(1)	827 (6)	-255 (3)	738 (1)	47 (6)
H(2)	830 (7)	301 (3)	852 (1)	58 (7)
H(3)	224 (7)	364 (3)	448 (2)	72 (9)
H(4)	-82 (8)	432 (4)	565 (2)	90 (10)
H(5)	153 (7)	358 (3)	688 (1)	61 (7)
H(6)	1114 (8)	-502 (4)	758 (1)	90 (7)
H(7)	189 (7)	-101 (3)	526 (1)	90 (7)

Table 2. Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)

C(1) - C(2)	1.273 (2)	O(2) - C(2)	1.238 (2)
O(3)-C(2)	1.327 (2)	N(1)–C(1)	1.325 (2)
N(2) - C(1)	1.325 (3)	N(3)-C(1)	1.322 (2)
O(3)-H(7)	1.000 (1)	N(1) - H(1)	1.00 (2)
N(2)H(4)	0-97 (3)	N(3)-H(5)	0.94 (3)
N(3)H(6)	1.000 (1)		
O(1)-C(2)-O(2)	124.9 (2)	O(1)-C(2)-O(3)	117.8 (2
O(2) - C(2) - O(3)	117.3 (2)	N(1)-C(1)-N(2)	120.8 (2
N(1)-C(1)-N(3)	119.4 (2)	N(2)-C(1)-N(3)	119.8 (2







Fig. 2. Packing of guanidinium bicarbonate in the unit cell.

Studies on the mechanism of Fe^{3+} uptake by transferrin (Bates, 1981) show that the bicarbonate synergistic anion binds in a pre-equilibrium step to the apoprotein prior to binding of the metal ion. The structure of guanidinium bicarbonate is probably a good model for the apotransferrin-bicarbonate adduct. However, binding on an Fe^{3+} to say O(3) in Fig. 1 would almost certainly result in displacement of the proton from O(3). Since the bicarbonate proton is not released to the medium (Gelb & Harris, 1980) it must bind to one of the other carbonate oxygens, to the OH⁻ ligand on the Fe^{3+} (Carrano, Spartalian, Appa Rao, Pecoraro & Sundaralingam, 1985) or a basic residue on the protein.

We wish to thank the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Pretoria, and the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, for financial support and computing facilites; also Professor J. C. A. Boeyens for providing X-ray facilities and Mrs S. Dobson and Dr D. Levendis for valuable assistance and advice.

References

ADAMS, J. M. & SMALL, R. W. H. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 2191-2193.

AISEN, P. (1980). Annv. Rev. Biochem. 49, 357-393.

- ALSAADI, B. M., WILLIAMS, R. J. P. & WOODWORTH, R. C. (1981). J. Inorg. Biochem. 15, 1–10.
- BATES, G. W. (1981). The Biochemistry and Physiology of Iron, edited by P. SALTMAN & J. HEGENAUER, pp. 3–18. New York: Elsevier.
- CARRANO, C. J., SPARTALIAN, K., APPA RAO, G. V. N., PECORARO, V. L. & SUNDARALINGAM, M. (1985). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 1651–1658.
- CHASTEEN, N. D. (1977). Coord. Chem. Rev. 22, 1-36.
- COTTON, F. A., HAZEN, E. E., DAY, V. W., LARSEN, S., NORMAN, J. G., WONG, S. T. K. & JOHNSON, K. H. (1973). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 2367–2369.
- CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1970). J. Chem. Phys. 53, 1891–1898.
- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.
- CURTIS, R. M. & PASTERNAK, R. A. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 675-681.
- FEENEY, R. E., OSUGA, D. T., MEARES, C. F., BABIN, D. R. & PENNER, M. H. (1983). Structure and Function of Iron Storage and Transport Proteins, edited by I. URUSHIZAKI, P. AISEN & I. LISTOWSKY, pp. 231–240. New York: Elsevier.
- GABER, B. P., MISKOWSKI, V. & SPIRO, T. (1974). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96, 6868–6873.

- GELB, M. H. & HARRIS, D. C. (1980). Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 200, 93–98.
- HARRIS, D. C., GRAY, G. A. & AISEN, P. (1974). J. Biol. Chem. 249, 5261-5264.
- JELTSH, J. M. & CHAMPON, P. (1982). Eur. J. Biochem. 122, 291-295.
- METZ-BOUTIQUE, M. H., JOLLES, J., MAZURIER, J., SCHOENTGEN, F., LEGRAND, D., SPIK, G., MONTREUIL, J. & JOLLES, P. (1984). *Eur. J. Biochem.* 145, 659–676.
- PECORARO, V. L., HARRIS, W. R., CARRANO, C. J. & RAYMOND, K. N. (1981). *Biochemistry*, **20**, 7033–7039.
- RIORDAN, J. F. (1979). Mol. Cell. Biochem. 26, 71-92.
- SCHLABACH, M. R. & BATES, G. W. (1975). J. Biol. Chem. 250, 2182-2188.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.
- YANG, F., LUM, J. B., MCGILL, J. R., MOORE, C. M., NAYLOR, S. L., VAN BRAGT, P. H., BALDWIN, W. D. & BOWMAN, B. H. (1984). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 81, 2752–2756.
- ZWEIER, J. L., PEISACH, J. & MIMS, W. B. (1982). J. Biol. Chem. 257, 10314-10316.

Acta Cryst. (1986). C42, 1199-1201

Pentacyclo[19.3.1.1^{2,6}.1^{9,13}.1^{14,18}]octacosa-1(25),2,4,6(28),9,11,13(27),14,16,18(26),21,23-dodecaene ([2.0.2.0]-*m*-Cyclophane)

BY ROBERT B. BATES,* SHANNATH L. MERBS, RICHARD B. ORTEGA AND JAMES J. WHITE Department of Chemistry, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA

(Received 2 January 1986; accepted 14 March 1986)

Abstract. $C_{28}H_{24}$, $M_r = 360.5$, orthorhombic, *Pbca*, a = 8.968 (4), b = 12.337 (3), c = 36.75 (1) Å, V = 4066 (3) Å³, Z = 8, $D_x = 1.18$ g cm⁻³, D_m not measured, Mo Ka, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, $\mu = 0.62$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 1536, T = 295 K, final R = 0.044 for 2175 observed reflections. The conformation of the title compound in the crystalline state is established by an X-ray study as being one which approaches D_2 symmetry, proposed to predominate for the compound in solution on the basis of NMR studies. The angles of twist between aromatic rings in the biphenyl systems are 46.8 (2) and 52.1 (2)° rather than $\sim 30^{\circ}$ as proposed for the molecule in solution or 89.9° as observed in an X-ray study of a related compound.

Introduction. The title compound (1), first prepared by Vögtle (1969), was noted by Leach & Reiss (1978) to have aromatic protons absorbing unusually far upfield in the NMR, and an *anti* conformation (1*a*) was proposed to predominate in solution to fit this finding. In 1981 (Olsson, Tanner, Thulin, Wennerström &

0108-2701/86/091199-03\$01.50







^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.